

1 Scott E. Gizer, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12216
sgizer@earlysullivan.com
2 Sophia S. Lau, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 13365
slau@earlysullivan.com
3 EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT
GIZER & McRAE LLP
4 8716 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 105
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
5 Telephone: (702) 331-7593
Facsimile: (702) 331-1652

6 Kevin S. Sinclair, Nevada Bar Number 12277
7 ksinciar@sinclairbraun.com
SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP
8 16501 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 400
Encino, California 91436
9 Telephone: (213) 429-6100
Facsimile: (213) 429-6101

10 Attorneys for Defendant
11 CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

12 DESIGNATED LOCAL COUNSEL FOR SERVICE OF
PROCESS ON SINCLAIR BRAUN PER L.R. IA 11-1(b)(ii)

13 Gary L. Compton, State Bar No. 1652
14 2950 E. Flamingo Road, Suite L
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

16 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

17 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE GROUP,
INC., et al.,

21 Defendants.

22 Case No.: 3:20-cv-00046-MMD-WGC

23 **STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND
CHICAGO TITLE'S DEADLINE TO
FILE REPLY BRIEF**

24 Plaintiff Bank of America, N.A. (“Bank of America”), on the one hand, and defendant
25 Chicago Title Insurance Company (“Chicago Title”), on the other hand, by and through their
26 respective attorneys of record, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

27 1. On August 6, 2020, Chicago Title filed its motion to dismiss (ECF No. 27) Bank of
28 America’s First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 24);

2. On September 3, 2020, Bank of America filed its response in opposition to Chicago Title's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 36);

3. Chicago Title requests a two-week extension of its deadline to respond to file its reply In support of its motion to dismiss, through and including Thursday, September 17, 2020;

4. Chicago Title requests this extension because of the intervening Labor Day Holiday weekend and to afford its counsel additional time to review and respond to Bank of America's arguments in opposition to the motion to dismiss;

5. Counsel for Bank of America does not oppose the extension;

6. This is the first request for an extension made by counsel for Chicago Title which is made in good faith and not for the purposes of delay.

IT IS SO STIPULATED that Chicago Title's reply in support of its motion to dismiss shall be due on September 17, 2020.

Dated: September 4, 2020

WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP

By: /s/-Darren T. Brenner
DARREN T. BRENNER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

Dated: September 4, 2020

SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP

By: /s/-Kevin S. Sinclair
KEVIN S. SINCLAIR
Attorneys for Defendant
CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this ____ day of _____, 2020.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE